Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,880	YUAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR	2624
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>After Appeal Brief</u>		
(1) <u>SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Richard T. Lyon (Reg. No. 37,385).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 December 2008</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 101		
Claims discussed: 30		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner suggested claim amendments that would place the application in allowance by overcoming the 101 rejection for "signal" claims. Applicant's representative agreed and authorized an Examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)